

9-1992

Cogrowth of Regular Graphs

Sam Northshield
SUNY Plattsburgh

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.plattsburgh.edu/mathematics_facpubs

Recommended Citation

Northshield, S. (1992). Cogrowth of Regular Graphs. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 116 (1), 203-205.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Mathematics at Digital Commons @ SUNY Plattsburgh. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ SUNY Plattsburgh.

COGROWTH OF REGULAR GRAPHS

S. NORTSHIELD

(Communicated by Lawrence F. Gray)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{G} be a d -regular graph and T the covering tree of \mathcal{G} . We define a cogrowth constant of \mathcal{G} in T and express it in terms of the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on \mathcal{G} . As a corollary, we show that the cogrowth constant is as large as possible if and only if the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on \mathcal{G} is zero. Grigorchuk's criterion for amenability of finitely generated groups follows.

In this note, we shall relate the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on a connected regular graph to the size of the kernel of the universal covering map. The main results have been proven in [C, G, P]. The proof presented here appears simpler; it depends on the explicit formula for minimal positive solutions of $\Delta F + \varepsilon F = -I$.

Let \mathcal{G} be a connected simple graph with constant vertex degree $d \geq 3$, T be the universal covering tree of \mathcal{G} , and θ the covering map (i.e., θ is a vertex surjection of T on \mathcal{G} that preserves adjacency and vertex degree). We let T and \mathcal{G} denote the vertex sets of the corresponding graphs. Note that T has constant vertex degree d . Since T is connected, T may be considered a metric space with the usual graph metric δ ($\delta(x, y)$ is the length of the shortest path connecting x and y). For $x \in T$ and $n \geq 0$, let $[x] = \theta^{-1}(\theta(x))$ and $S_n(x) = \{y: \delta(x, y) = n\}$. For $x, y \in T$, note that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |[y] \cap S_n(x)|^{1/n} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0: \sum_{z \in [y]} \lambda^{-\delta(x, z)} < \infty \right\}$$

and is thus independent of x and y . We call this number, $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G})$, the cogrowth constant of \mathcal{G} in T .

For x, y vertices of a graph, we write xEy if x and y are connected by an edge. For $x, y \in T$, let

$$q(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d} & \text{if } xEy, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that q is the transition matrix of the simple random walk on T . Let $q^{(n)}$ denote the n th power of q . For $a, b \in \mathcal{G}$ and $x \in \theta^{-1}(a)$, since θ takes the

Received by the editors January 25, 1991.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 60J15; Secondary 05C05, 05C25, 43A07.

Key words and phrases. Regular graph, covering tree, amenable group, random walk.

©1992 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/92 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

simple random walk on T to the simple random walk on \mathcal{G} ,

$$(1) \quad p^{(n)}(a, b) = \sum_{y \in \theta^{-1}(b)} q^{(n)}(x, y)$$

where p is the transition matrix of the simple random walk on \mathcal{G} . We define $\Delta \equiv p - 1$, $G \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} p^{(n)}$, and for $\varepsilon \geq 0$, $G^\varepsilon \equiv \sum_{n \geq 0} p^{(n)} / (1 - \varepsilon)^{n+1}$. Similarly, we define Δ_T, F , and F^ε as above with p replaced by q .

Δ and Δ_T are the Laplacians on \mathcal{G} and T , respectively. We call $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$ and λ_T the first eigenvalues of Δ and Δ_T , respectively. Since T is d -regular,

$$(2) \quad \lambda_T = 1 - 2(d - 1)^{1/2} / d$$

(see [DK]). Also,

$$(3) \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{G}} = \sup\{\lambda: \exists f > 0: \Delta f + \lambda f \leq 0\}$$

(see [DK] or [N]). It is true that $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} \leq \lambda_T$ (see [N]).

For $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_T$, let $a(\varepsilon) = d(1 - \varepsilon) / (d - 1)$, $b = 1 / (d - 1)$, and $\sigma(\varepsilon) \leq \tau(\varepsilon)$ be the (real) roots of $t = a(\varepsilon) - b/t$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\varepsilon) &= \{d(1 - \varepsilon) - [d^2(1 - \varepsilon)^2 - 4d + 4]^{1/2}\} / 2(d - 1), \\ \tau(\varepsilon) &= \{d(1 - \varepsilon) + [d^2(1 - \varepsilon)^2 - 4d + 4]^{1/2}\} / 2(d - 1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ is increasing and $\tau(\varepsilon)$ is decreasing on $[0, \lambda_T)$.

Lemma. For $\varepsilon \in [0, \lambda_T)$, $F^\varepsilon(x, y) = \sigma(\varepsilon)^{\delta(x,y)} / (1 - \varepsilon - \sigma(\varepsilon))$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \in [0, \lambda_T)$. For $\lambda \in (\varepsilon, \lambda_T)$, there exists a function $f > 0$ such that $\Delta_T f + \lambda f \leq 0$. Let $v = -(\Delta_T f + \varepsilon f) / (1 - \varepsilon)$ and $r = q / (1 - \varepsilon)$. Note that $v > 0$ and $f = v + qf$. By induction, $f = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} r^{(k)} v + r^{(n+1)} f \geq \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} r^{(k)} v$ since $f > 0$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, $f \geq \sum_{k \geq 0} r^{(k)} v = (1 - \varepsilon) F^\varepsilon v$. Since $v > 0$, F^ε exists.

By the symmetry of T , there exists a sequence $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots$ such that for any x , if $\delta(x, y) = n$ then $F^\varepsilon(x, y) = \gamma_n$. Since $(\Delta_T + \varepsilon)F^\varepsilon = -I$, it follows that $\gamma_{k+2} = a\gamma_{k+1} - b\gamma_k$ and $\gamma_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)\gamma_0 = -1$. Let $r_k = \gamma_{k+1} / \gamma_k$, $\mu = a/2$, and $\nu = [a^2/4 - b]^{1/2}$. By the addition of angle formulae for hyperbolic functions, it is easy to verify that for all r_0 there exists θ , so that

$$r_n = \begin{cases} \mu + \nu \tanh(\theta + \rho n) & \text{if } r_0 \in (\sigma, \tau), \\ \mu + \nu \coth(\theta + \rho n) & \text{if } r_0 \notin [0, \tau], \\ r_0 & \text{if } r_0 \in \{\sigma, \tau\}, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho = \tanh(\nu/\mu)$.

Clearly, if $r_0 \neq \sigma$ then $r_n \rightarrow \tau$, and thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^{1/n} = \tau$. It is easy to verify that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n^{1/n}$ is increasing as a function of ε (since $p^{(n)} \geq 0$). Therefore $r_n \equiv \sigma$ since τ is decreasing. It follows that $F^\varepsilon(x, y) = c\sigma^{\delta(x,y)}$ and, since $\tau_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)\gamma_0 = -1$, $c = 1/[1 - \varepsilon - \sigma]$. \square

Theorem. (a) $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) \leq \{d(1 - \lambda_{\mathcal{G}}) + [d^2(1 - \lambda_{\mathcal{G}})^2 - 4d + 4]^{1/2}\} / 2$,

(b) If $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} \neq \lambda_T$ then $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) = \{d(1 - \lambda_{\mathcal{G}}) + [d^2(1 - \lambda_{\mathcal{G}})^2 - 4d + 4]^{1/2}\} / 2$.

Proof. (a) If $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$ then $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |S_n(x)|^{1/n} = d - 1 = 1/\sigma(\lambda_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Let $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda_{\mathcal{G}})$. As in the proof of the lemma, G^ε exists. Since

$$\sum_{z \in [y]} \sigma^{\delta(x, z)} = c \sum_{z \in [y]} F^\varepsilon(x, z) = cG^\varepsilon(\theta(x), \theta(y)) < \infty,$$

$\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) \leq 1/\sigma(\varepsilon)$. Since $\sigma(\varepsilon)$ is increasing, the result follows by letting ε approach $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$.

(b) Let $\varepsilon \in [0, \lambda_T)$. If $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) < 1/\sigma(\varepsilon)$, then

$$G^\varepsilon(\theta(x), \theta(y)) = \sum_{z \in [y]} F^\varepsilon(x, z) = \sum_{z \in [y]} \sigma^{\delta(x, z)} < \infty$$

and thus G^ε exists. Fix $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and let $f(x) = G^\varepsilon(g, x)$. Clearly $\Delta_T f + \varepsilon f \leq 0$ and $f > 0$ and, therefore, $\varepsilon \leq \lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$. Assume $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} \neq \lambda_T$ (and thus $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} < \lambda_T$). If $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} < \lambda_{\mathcal{G}} + \kappa \leq \lambda_T$, then $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) \geq 1/\sigma(\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} + \kappa)$. Since $1/\sigma$ is decreasing on $[0, \lambda_{\mathcal{G}}]$, $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) \geq 1/\sigma(\lambda_{\mathcal{G}})$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let \mathcal{G} be connected and d -regular. Then $\text{cogr}(T, \mathcal{G}) = d - 1$ iff $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$.*

Let A be a finitely generated discrete group with k generators, F the free group with k generators, ϕ the canonical mapping of F onto A , and $K = \ker \theta$.

The map ϕ induces a covering map θ from T onto \mathcal{G} where T and \mathcal{G} are the Cayley graphs of F and A respectively. As is well known, A is amenable iff $\lambda_{\mathcal{G}} = 0$ (see [K, DK, DG]).

By [P], $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |K \cap S_{2n}|^{1/2n}$ exists.

Corollary 2. *A is amenable iff $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |K \cap S_{2n}|^{1/2n} = 2k - 1$.*

REFERENCES

- [C] J. L. Cohen, *Cogrowth and amenability of discrete groups*, J. Funct. Anal. **48** (1982), 301–309.
- [DG] Y. Derriennic and Y. Guivarc'h, *Theoreme de renouvellement pour les groupes moyennables*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A **277** (1973), 613–615.
- [DK] J. Dodziuk and L. Karp, *Spectral and function theory of combinatorial Laplacians*, Contemp. Math., vol. 73, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 25–40.
- [G] R. I. Grigorchuk, *Symmetric random walks on discrete groups*, Multi-Component Random Systems (Dobrushin and Sinai, eds.), Dekker, New York and Basel, 1980, pp. 285–325.
- [K] H. Kesten, *Full Banach mean values on countable groups*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 146–156.
- [N] S. Northshield, *Gauge and conditional gauge on negatively curved graphs*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **9** (1991), 461–482.
- [P] A. T. Paterson, *Amenability*, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT PLATTSBURGH, PLATTSBURGH, NEW YORK 12901

E-mail address: northssw@snyplava.bitnet