

A NOTE ON THE COMPLEXITY OF GRAPHS

SAM NORTHSHIELD

SUNY-College at Plattsburgh and University of Minnesota

ABSTRACT. The number of spanning trees in a finite graph is first expressed as the derivative (at 1) of a determinant and then in terms of a zeta function. This generalizes a result of Hashimoto to non-regular graphs.

Let G be a finite graph. The complexity of G , denoted κ , is the number of spanning trees in G . This quantity has long been known to be related to matrices associated with G (see [1]). When G is regular, Hashimoto [2] expressed κ as a limit involving the zeta function of the graph and asked if his expression still holds for irregular graphs. In this note, we show that the answer is yes. In particular, we derive a formula for the complexity as the derivative of a determinant involving the adjacency matrix of the graph and use this and a generalized version of Ihara's theorem ([3,4]) to get the desired result.

Let G be a graph with ν vertices and ϵ edges. Suppose we order the vertices: (x_1, \dots, x_ν) . The *adjacency matrix* $A = (a_{ij})$ is the matrix of zeros and ones such that $a_{ij} = 1$ if and only if x_i and x_j are adjacent. We let $D = (d_{ij})$ be the diagonal element with $d_{ii} = d_i - 1$ where d_i is the degree of the vertex x_i . Finally, let $Q = D - I$. For a complex variable u , let

$$f(u) = \det(I - uA + u^2Q).$$

Theorem. $f'(1) = 2(\epsilon - \nu)\kappa$.

Proof. Let $M^u = I - uA + u^2Q$ and let $M_k^u = (m_{k;i,j}^u)$ denote the matrix M^u with each entry of the k^{th} row replaced by its corresponding derivative with respect to u . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M^u)' &= \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \left(\prod_i m_{i\pi(i)}^u \right)' \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \prod_i m_{j;i\pi(i)}^u = \sum_j \det(M_j^u). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $m_{k;i,j}^1 = d_i \delta_{ij} - a_{ij} + (d_k - 2)\delta_{ki}\delta_{ij}$, and thus

$$f'(1) = \sum_k \det(M + (d_k - 2)R_k)$$

This note was written while the author was on sabbatical leave at the University of Minnesota. The author appreciated the hospitality of the math department during that time.

where $M = M^1$ and $R_k = (r_{k:ij})$ is defined by $r_{k:ij} = \delta_{ki}\delta_{ij}$.

In general, if \tilde{m}_{ij} is the cofactor of m_{ij} in M , then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(M + cR_k) &= \sum_j (M + cR_k)_{kj} (-1)^{j+k} \tilde{m}_{kj} \\ &= \sum_j m_{kj} (-1)^{j+k} \tilde{m}_{kj} + c\tilde{m}_{kk} = c\tilde{m}_{kk} \end{aligned}$$

(since $\det(M) = 0$). By Biggs ([1], theorem 6.3), $\tilde{m}_{ij} = \kappa$ for all i and j and thus $f'(1) = \kappa \sum_k (d_k - 2) = 2(\epsilon - \nu)\kappa$. \square

We define the zeta function of G to be

$$Z(u) = \prod_i (1 - u^{\omega_i})^{-1}$$

where $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ are the lengths of *prime* cycles in G (see [3] or [4] for definitions). A generalization of a theorem of Ihara's is that

$$Z(u) = \frac{1}{(1 - u^2)^{\epsilon - \nu} f(u)}$$

(see [3] or [4]). The following generalization of [2; theorem 7.7] is immediate.

Corollary. $\lim_{u \rightarrow 1^-} Z(u)(1 - u)^{\epsilon - \nu + 1} = -\frac{2^{-\epsilon + \nu - 1}}{(\epsilon - \nu)\kappa}$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Biggs, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
2. K. Hashimoto, *Zeta Functions of Finite Graphs and Representations of p -Adic Groups*, Advanced Study in Pure Math., vol. 15, Academic Press, NY, 1989, pp. 211-280.
3. S. Northshield, *Several proofs of Ihara's theorem*, IMA Preprint Series no. 1459.
4. H.M. Stark and A.A. Terras, *Zeta functions of finite graphs and coverings*, Adv. Math. **121** (1996), no. 1, 124-165.